

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/814,155	04/01/2004	Barry W. Townsend	183.39735AP7	. 7370	
20457	7590 02/24/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP			BLANCO, JAVIER G		
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTO	Ņ, VA 22209-3873		3738		
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.  10/814,155  Examiner Javier G. Blanco  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be variable under the provisors of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely flied after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period or reply is pacified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S. C.§ 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any examed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 1,2.4-11,13-18,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) □ Claim(s) 1,2.4-11,13-18,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
## Examiner   Javier G. Blanco   3738    ## The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address —  ## Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  ## Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after box (6) MONTH's from the replication of the major of the provision of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after box (6) MONTH's from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the zero or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any error patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  ### Status  ### 1] A Responsive to communication(s) filed on ### 2005.  ### 2a	
Javier G. Blanco  3738  The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication will apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the provision of the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the provision is seen to extended period for reply will be yatatute, cause the application to become ABADDONE 054 U.S. (§ 133). Alay reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  - Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2005.  2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.   Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed offer SIK (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIK (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABATROON the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2005.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3.12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply vail, by statuter, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 December 2005.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  10) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.35(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled after \$1X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum saturatory period will apply and will expire \$XY (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filled on 05 December 2005.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3.12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) 1.24-11,13-18,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1.24-11,13-18,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) 1.24-11,13-18,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 1 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 December 2005.</u> 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,12 and 19-22</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-11,13-18,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3.12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-18.23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ This action is non-final.  3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3.12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☑ Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-18.23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,13-18,23 and 24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,13-18,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,13-18,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)  accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
4)  Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,13-18,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10)  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 3,12 and 19-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,2,4-11,13-18,23 and 24 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/12/04; 4/11/05.  S. Patent and Trademark Office  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 3738

# **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election of Prosthetic Foot: Species H (embodied in Figures 46 and 47), Calf Shank: Species A (embodied in Figure 15), Foot Keel: Species B (embodied in Figures 6 and 7), and Coupling Element: Species A (embodied in Figure 23) in the reply filed on December 5, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. Claims 3, 12, and 19-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on December 5, 2005.

### Priority

- 3. The instant Application is a continuation-in-part of Application No 10/473,682 (comprising Figures 1-40), which is the U.S. national designated filing under 35 U.S.C. 371 of international application PCT/US02/09589, which is a continuation-in-part of US 6,562,075 (comprising Figures 1-27). The instant Application is also a continuation-in-part of Application No 10/263,795 (comprising Figures 1-27), which is a continuation-in-part of Application No 09/820,895 (now US 6,562,075).
- 4. Independent claims 1 and 10 of the instant application (comprising Figures 1-54) claim a prosthetic foot comprising a shank (or ankle) monolithically formed with a foot keel. The term "monolithic" is generally defined as "cast/formed as a single piece". Figures 46 and 48 of the

Art Unit: 3738

instant application show/describe a shank (or ankle) monolithically formed with a foot keel. Figures 46 and 48 were introduced for the first time in the present/instant application. None of the above-mentioned applications disclose a shank (or ankle) monolithically formed with a foot keel. Independent claims 1 and 10 are directed to subject matter that was introduced for the first time in the present application, filed April 1, 2004. Thus, the effective filing date for the subject matter of independent claims 1 and 10 is April 1, 2004.

## Double Patenting

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

6. Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13-18, 23, and 24 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-51 of U.S. Patent No. 6,562,075. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct

Art Unit: 3738

from each other because the difference between claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13-18, 23, and 24 of the application and claims 1-51 of the patent lies in the fact that the patent claims include many more elements and is thus much more specific (except for disclosing a shank (or ankle) monolithically formed with a foot keel). Thus the invention of claims 1-51 of the patent is in effect a "species" of the "generic" invention of claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13-18, 23, and 24. It has been held that the generic invention is "anticipated" by the "species". See In re Goodman, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993). Since claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13-18, 23, and 24 of the application are anticipated by claims 1-51 of the patent, it is not patentably distinct from claims 1-51.

- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13-18, 23, and 24 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over:
- (i) Claims 62, 63, 66, 70, 71, 72, 89, 91, and 94-96 of copending Application No. 10/263,795;
- (ii) Claims 55, 57, 62, and 63-66 of copending Application No. 10/408,107;
- (iii) Claims 1-26 of copending Application No. 10/473,680; and
- (iv) Claims 21-24 of copending Application No. 10/814,260.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both applications claim a prosthetic foot comprising an anterior facing convexly curved shank connected to a foot keel. This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3738

9. Claims 1, 2, 4-9, and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

a. Regarding claim 1, the limitation "the lower portion of the shank" (see line 7) lacks antecedent basis. Claims 2, 4-9, and 23 depend on claim 1.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claims 1, 2, 4-11, 13-18, 23, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Atkinson et al. (US PG Pub No 2002/0087216 A1; cited in Applicants' IDS).

Referring to Figures 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 19, and 29, Atkinson et al. disclose a prosthetic foot comprising a longitudinally extending foot keel; a resilient, monolithically formed shank extending upwardly from the foot keel to form an ankle joint area of the prosthetic foot and a lower, prosthetic part of a leg above the ankle joint area for connection with a lower extremity prosthetic socket on a person's leg stump; wherein at least a portion of the shank extending upwardly from the foot keel is anterior facing convexly curved; and wherein the shank and at least a portion of the foot keel are monolithically formed (i.e., "cast or formed from a single piece, element, or structure", as disclosed in paragraph 0082). The shank and foot keel are formed of metal or plastic (see paragraphs 0044 and 0047).

Art Unit: 3738

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Javier G. Blanco whose telephone number is 571-272-4747. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 a.m.-4:00 p.m.), first Friday of the bi-week off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 for regular communications and After Final communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

JGB

February 17, 2006

David H. Willse Primary Examiner